

July 20, 2009

Dear Representative Carson

The Government does a good job of providing a net under it's citizens for Catastrophic loss. Whether that occurs due to harsh economic times like we have at present or through Catastrophes like Flooding, Hurricanes, Earthquakes or the like. The Taxpayer can not bear the cost to fund the expected huge deficits a social program like Universal Health care will incur that the private sector is already in place to provide. A plan of public and private coverage needs to be based on continuing the Catastrophic benefit at the Governments involvement and let the private sector provide the day to day coverage like an HSA plan does. The private sector would do a better job of pricing it's plans and could make them portable. Financial devastation would be eliminated for serious uninsured medical problems that strip our seniors of there freedom in retirement. It is the kind of solution this Country was built on.

I have family in Canada and know first hand that a public, private option plan does not work if you are seeking the Quality of Care we expect. It started out having an opt out provision that allowed people to seek private care from Medical providers that did not want to be bound by the Government set rates and felt they could provide a better quality of care . Soon you had all the best Qualified Doctors outside the Government system. Those who could not afford private care received lesser quality and timeliness of care. Eventually the Government eliminated the private coverage option and all citizens now receive lesser quality and timeliness of Care .What an improvement! Just like what will happen here.

I believe we have to provide a better system and access for all legal residents. However we know what happens when the Government loses focus on where it can best provide the **safety net**. I urge you to not support this current rush to pass a comprehensive health plan without the adequate amount of research on what the American People want and can afford.

Doug

Indianapolis, IN

July 20, 2009

Dear Representative Carson:

Through my husband's insurance, my family and I have wonderful health care. I have the freedom and ability to choose a doctor and hospital. A government-run public health care plan will lead many employers to drop private health care coverage for their workers, thus forcing the workers into the government-run plan. I do not want to lose my family's health insurance and it appears that I have a good chance of losing it. According to the bill (pages 16-17), if anything changes in your employer's plan (contract) such as a deductible or co-pay (which usually changes every year) you will have then have to move into the government run health plan.

I do not want the government to dictate my doctor, my hospital and my treatment for any illness that I may have. I do not want the government to decide when to stop treatment on a patient.

Americans cannot afford a trillion dollar plan or the raising of tax rates to pay for this government-run public health care plan. If this bill passes, many small business owners will be put out of business, with their dreams and hopes of free enterprise lost.

I love my country; however, I am very fearful and sad of America's future.

Please vote against the Health Care Reform Bill.

Sincerely,

Terri

Indianapolis, IN

July 20, 2009

Representative Carson,

After 73 years of life and 56 years in the work force and military, I do have some insight which I have tried to express in other public forums.

Because Health care has gotten out of control, our government **MUST** provide some oversight. A most serious root of the problem is the unpoliced costs of **EVERY** aspect of health care such as required materials for medical students, band aids, ambulances, ball point pens for doctors, wages for staffs, MDR equipment, prescription medicines and on and on and on. **THERE IS NO POLICING!**

Before Blue Cross, other insurance companies, corporations and governments monitored and policed health care costs.

But the advent of Blue Cross then combined all those entities into a conspiracy with the end result being uncontrolled costs with the major victims being we average tax paying citizens.

Before any plan will work, the base **COSTS** must be fair and reasonable. Then we can work on a plan that will protect we citizens and make available health care. That plan must allow for emergency and terminal cases, provide protection against abuse by patients who cheat, allow citizen contributions for those who prefer lower risk factors and stimulation for those who might consider entry into the health care world and for entrepreneurship in that health care world.

I suggest the governments (local, state, federal) should design plans that protect basic needs and emergency services, but should stimulate private insurance operations so as to provide a sliding scale for those who are responsible, have resources and prefer a lower risk factor.

I believe this is of utmost importance and so the reason I've taken part in your and Tom Daschle's programs to date.

Thank you.

Tom

Indianapolis, IN

July 20, 2009

Dear Congressman Carson,

Thank you for taking the time to listen to my opinion on the new healthcare bill. I want to express, as one of your constituents how I really feel about this new healthcare plan.

First, I have coverage and am not worried about coverage in the future. I know that if someday if I get cancer, I have a better chance of surviving in the United States than anywhere else in the world!

Second, our country has been all about freedom! I love having the freedom to choose what doctors I want, what policy, and what treatment. I feel with this new plan that we are limited to what the government will allow us to have as terms of medical treatment. I believe that I should be able to receive treatment if I so choose to have it.

Third, I am worried about the word "rationing" being thrown around. I don't want to have to suffer because we are all competing for treatment because it's not accessible anymore or we're

limited. One of the purposes is the limit the amount of health insurance we use, but if we need treatment, we need treatment.

Fourth, if healthcare is socialized we will have less people willing to professionalize in the medical field. I don't want to have to be in a lottery to see a doctor! Then only be allowed to choose one of my ailments to be looked at.

Fifth, I believe that allowing people to have abortions using the tax money is ridiculous and should be taken out of the bill. I am pro-life and against abortions, I think it unfair for me to be paying for them with my tax dollars.

I am against this bill and urge you not to vote for it. We have one of the best healthcare systems in the world and we don't need to throw it all away. We need to look at less radical ways to help the uninsured!!!

Thank you.

Corrine

Indianapolis, IN